

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/707,893 | 01/21/2004 | Andrew M. Bober | JD-326-US | 1892 |
| 24804 JohnsonDivers | 7590 12/07/2007 sev Inc | EXAMINER | | |
| 8310 16TH STREET, M/S 509 PO BOX 902 STURTEVANT, WI 53177-0902 | | | PATEL, RITA RAMESH | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1792 | |
| | | | | |
| | • | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/07/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

S

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/707,893 | BOBER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Rita R. Patel | 1792 | | |

| | Rita R. Patel | 1792 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \bowtie The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | • | • | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS 2. The proposed amount of the first of the proposed and the proposed amount of | | *11 4 1 4 1 | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | - | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | · | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | 1:16- | |
| 13. Other: | | pry a | \leq |
| 12/4/07 | | | |
| 12/4/07 | SUP | MICHAEL BA ERVISORY PATEN | RR TEXAMINER |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments have never been priorly presented during prosecution, these amendments necessitate further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Reconsideration relies upon claims which are not entered according to reasons provided above.